

## Power balance analysis at the L to H transition in JET-ILW

E. R. Solano<sup>1</sup>, E. Delabie<sup>2</sup>, P. Vincenzi<sup>3</sup>, C. Bourdelle<sup>4</sup>, J.C. Hillesheim<sup>5</sup>, C. Maggi<sup>5</sup>, P. Carvalho<sup>6</sup>, A. Huber<sup>7</sup>, M. Chernyshova<sup>8</sup> and JET contributors\*

<sup>1</sup>Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión, CIEMAT, Madrid, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6169, United States of America; <sup>3</sup>Consorzio RFX, corso Stati Uniti 4, 35127 Padova, Italy; <sup>4</sup>CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France; <sup>5</sup>CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, United Kingdom; <sup>6</sup>Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal; <sup>7</sup>Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institut für Energie- und Klimaforschung-Plasmaphysik, 52425, Jülich, Germany; <sup>8</sup>Institute of Plasma Physics and Laser Microfusion, Hery 23, 01-497 Warsaw, Poland

Various investigations of the dependency of the power threshold for the L-H transition ( $P_{LH}$ ) as a function of target density have been carried out at JET since the installation of the ITER-like wall [1, 2].

We find that the position of the strike points strongly influences  $P_{LH}$ , and we consider each plasma shape as a separate dataset. In some of these subsets we have clearly identified data points in the low density branch: below a certain density,  $n_{e,min}$ ,  $P_{LH}$  increases as density decreases. For the same conditions (shape, current, field, heating system) the value of  $n_{e,min}$  is considerably higher in Hydrogen plasmas compared to Deuterium plasmas. As observed in various other devices,  $n_{e,min}$  also depends on toroidal field, and is affected by plasma current.

The current leading explanation for the existence of a minimum density was developed by the AUG team [3-6]. Power balance analysis before the L to H transition on AUG studies, and more recently in C-Mod [7], have shown that even if the total power crossing the 95% surface exhibits a minimum in density, this is not the case for the power coupled to the ions, which decreases proportionally to the decreasing density.

To test the model, we investigated a few NBI heated cases in JET, for which we have edge  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  measurements across the density scan. In those cases we find that  $T_e=T_i$  in the plasma edge before the transition, also when clearly in the low density branch. Uncertainties in the core  $T_i$  measurements lead us to resort to modeling to investigate if the power to the ions decreases with density in the low density branch.

In order to perform the power balance analysis of these pulses, we have run interpretive core transport simulations with the JINTRAC code suite [8] (using the JETTO and ASCOT modules) to compute the direct electron and ion heat sources, including the radiation given by bolometry measurements. To compensate for the lack of core CX measurements of  $T_i$ , QualiKiz [9] is used in JETTO to predict electron and ion heat fluxes for radii inside  $\rho=0.95$ . The predicted electron temperature profiles match the measurements quite well. The predicted  $T_i$  matches the edge CX data. We use the predicted core profiles to estimate the heat exchange term and the electron and ion heat fluxes. The computed power coupled to the ions does not exhibit a linear dependency with respect to density in the low density branch of these JET-ILW plasmas.

### References:

[1] C. Maggi et al 2014 Nucl. Fusion 54 023007. [2] J. C. Hillesheim et al. Proc. 26th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Kyoto, Japan, EX/5-2 (2016). [3] P. Sauter et al 2012 Nucl. Fusion 52 012001. [4] F. Ryter et al 2013 Nucl. Fusion 53 113003. [5] F. Ryter et al 2014 Nucl. Fusion 54 083003. [6] F. Ryter et al 2016 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 58 014007. [7] M. Schmidtmayr et al 2018 Nucl. Fusion 58 056003. [8] M. Romanelli et al 2014 Plasma and Fusion Research, Vol. 9, 340302. [9] J. Citrin et al 2017 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 59 124005

\*See the author list of "X. Litaudon et al 2017 Nucl. Fusion 57 102001"